

SSIP 2011

19th Summer School on Image Processing 7 July - 16 July 2011 • Szeged, Hungary

3D Reconstruction from two views

Presented by Radu Orghidan





Contents

- 3.1 Shape from X
- 3.2 Stereo Vision Introduction
- 3.3 Triangulation Principle and Constraints
- 3.4 Epipolar Geometry
- 3.5 Computing the Fundamental Matrix
- 3.6 Accuracy Evaluation
- 3.7 Experimental Results
- 3.8 Calibrated reconstruction
- 4. Calibration using fuzzy systems

Techniques based on:

- Modifying the intrinsic camera parameters

i.e. <u>Depth from Focus/Defocus</u> and Depth from Zooming

Considering an addi al source
 i.e. Shape from Structo Stereo



- Considering additional surface in i.e. Shape from Shading, Shape from Focus/Defocus Geometric Constraints
- Multiple views
 - i.e. Shape from Stereo and Shape from Motion

Techniques based on:

- Modifying the intrinsic camera parameters
 i.e. Depth from Focus/Defocus and Depth from Zooming
- Considering an additional source of light onto the scene
 i.e. Shape from Structured Light and Shape from Photometric
 Stereo
- Considering addition
 i.e. Shape from Shading, Shape from Geometric Constraints
- Multiple views

om

Shape from Structured Light

i.e. Shape from Stereo and Shape from Motion

Techniques based on:

- Modifying the intrinsic camera parameters
 i.e. Depth from Focus/Defocus and Depth from Zooming
- Considering an additional source of light onto the scene i.e. Shape from Structured Light and Shape from Photometric Stereo
- Considering additional surface information

i.e. <u>Shape from Shading</u>, Shape from <u>Texture and Shape from</u> Geometric Const. s

Multiple views
 i.e. Shape from Stereo and Snape



Techniques based on:

- Modifying the intrinsic camera parameters
 i.e. Depth from Focus/Defocus and Depth from Zooming
- Considering an additional source of light onto the scene
 i.e. Shape from Structured Light and Shape from Photometric
 Stereo









3.3 Triangulation Principle



3D reconstruction from two views



Constraints:

• The Correspondence Problem \rightarrow F/E matrix

3.3 Constraints in Stereo Vision

Calibrated 3D Reconstruction process:

- 1. The **Internal Parameters** are known (by camera calibration)
- 2. Calculate the Fundamental Matrix
- 3. Determine the External Parameters (rotation and translation from one camera to the other) from the Fundamental Matrix
- 4. Determine **3D point locations**, i.e. perform the **3D reconstruction**.



12



eature extraction techniques

The **intrinsic parameters** must be obtained from camera calibration. The **extrinsic parameters** are obtained from the **FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX**



3.4 Epipolar Geometry (II) - Modelling



3.4 Epipolar Geometry (III) - Modelling







- •The Epipolar Geometry concerns the problem of computing the plane Π .
 - A plane is defined by the cross product between two vectors
 - M is unknown, m and m' are known
 - {W} is located at {C} or {C'} and Π can be computed at {C} or {C'} \rightarrow 4 solutions





- •The Epipolar Geometry concerns the problem of computing the plane Π .
 - A plane is defined by the cross product between two vectors
 - M is unknown, m and m' are knowns
 - {W} is located at {C} or {C'} and Π can be computed at {C} or {C'} \rightarrow 4 solutions







Since epipolar lines are contained in the plane Π , we can define the line by a cross product of two vectors, obtaining the orthogonal vector of the line.

$$l'_{m} = e' \times P'^{-1} m = -R^{t} t \times R^{t} m = -R^{t} (t \times m) = -R^{t} [t]_{x} m$$
$$l_{m'} = e \times P' m' = t \times Rm' = [t]_{x} Rm'$$





3.4 Epipolar Geometry (IX) - Modelling

F and F' are related by a transpose. So,

$$F = F'^{t} \qquad F = \mathbf{A}'^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$
$$F' = F^{t} \qquad F' = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}'^{-1}$$

Demonstration:

$$F^{t} = \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1} = F^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} = F^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x}\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} = F^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} = F^{-t} R^{t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}^{-t} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R\right)^{t} = \mathbf{A}$$

The same dissertation can be made assuming the origin at $\{C'\}$, obtaining two more fundamental matrices that are also related to F and F'.

3.4 Epipolar Geometry (X) - Modelling

The Essential Matrix is the calibrated case of the Fundamental matrix.

• The Intrinsic parameters are known: A and A' are known The problem is reduced to estimate E or E'.

$$F = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E = R^{t} [t]_{x}$$
$$F' = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E' = [t]_{x} R$$

The monocular stereo is a symplified version of F where A = A', reducing the complexity of computing F.

$$F = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$
$$F' = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1}$$

3.5 Computing F: The Eight Point Method

The epipolar geometry is defined as:

$$m^{T}\mathbf{F}'m' = 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} x_{i} & y_{i} & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathbf{F}' \begin{bmatrix} x_{i}' \\ y_{i}' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Operating, we obtain:

 $U_n f = 0$ $U_n = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$ $u_{i} = (x_{i}'x_{i}, y_{i}'x_{i}, x_{i}, x_{i}'y_{i}, y_{i}'y_{i}, y_{i}, x_{i}', y_{i}', 1)$ $f = (F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{13}, F_{21}, F_{22}, F_{23}, F_{31}, F_{32}, F_{33})^{t}$

3.5 Computing F: The Eight Point Method and LS²⁶

 $U_n f = 0$ First solution is : f = 0 NOT WANTED

F is defined up to a scale factor, so we can fix one of the component to 1. Let's fix $F_{33} = 1$.

$$U'_{n}f' = -1_{n}$$

$$U'_{n} = (u'_{1}, u'_{2}, ..., u'_{n})$$

$$u'_{i} = (x'_{i}x_{i}, y'_{i}x_{i}, x_{i}, x'_{i}y_{i}, y'_{i}y_{i}, y_{i}, x'_{i}, y'_{i})$$

$$f = (F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{13}, F_{21}, F_{22}, F_{23}, F_{31}, F_{32})^{t}$$

Then:

3.5 Computing F: The Eight Point Method and Eigen Analysis

 $U_n f = 0$

First solution is : f = 0 NOT WANTED

F has to be rank-2 because $[t_x]$ is rank-2.

$$F = \mathbf{A}^{t-t} R^t [t]_x \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad [t]_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Any system of equations:

$$U_n f = 0 \qquad f = (F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{13}, F_{21}, F_{22}, F_{23}, F_{31}, F_{32}, F_{33})^t$$

can be **solved by SVD** so that f lies in the nullspace of $U_n = UDV^T$.

 $[U,D,V] = svd (U_n)$

Hence f corresponds to a multiple of the column of V that belongs to the unique singular value of D equal to 0. Note that f is only known up to a scaling factor.

3.5 Computing the Fundamental Matrix: A Survey²⁸

| | Linear | Iterative | Robust | Optimisation | Rank-2 | |
|---|------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------|--|
| Seven point (7p) | X | | | — | yes | |
| Eight point (8p) | X | | | LS or Eig. | no | |
| Rank-2 constraint | X | | | LS | yes | |
| Iterative Newton- Raphson | | х | | LS | no | |
| Linear iterative | | Х | | LS | no | |
| <i>Non-linear minimization in parameter space</i> | | х | | Eig. | yes | |
| Gradient technique | | V | | | | |
| FNS | • | | ٩ | | | |
| CFNS | | | \rightarrow | \cap | Y | |
| M-Estimato | | | | | | |
| LMedS | | | × • | | | |
| RANSAC | • | | | | \geq | |
| MLESAC | - | | | | | |
| MAPSAC Leas | st-squares | Eigen Analysis Approximate Likelih | | | lihood | |
| _S: Least-Squares Eig: Eigen Analysis AML: Approximate Maximum Likelihood | | | | | | |

3.6 Accuracy Evaluation



3D reconstruction from two views

3.7 Experimental Results: Synthetic Images (I)

| Linear methods: Good results if the | e points are well | located and no outilers |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|

| Methods* | Linear | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|----------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 14.250 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.920 | | | | |
| outliers 0% | 13.840 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.143 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 25.370 | 339.562 | 17.124 | 30.027 | | | | |
| outliers 10% | 48.428 | 433.013 | 31.204 | 59.471 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 135.775 | 1.331 | 0.107 | 0.120 | | | | |
| outliers 0% | 104.671 | 0.788 | 0.088 | 0.091 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 140.637 | 476.841 | 19.675 | 70.053 | | | | |
| outliers 10% | 104.385 | 762.756 | 46.505 | 63.974 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 163.839 | 5.548 | 0.538 | 0.642 | | | | |
| outliers 0% | 178.222 | 3.386 | 0.362 | 0.528 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 140.932 | 507.653 | 19.262 | 26.475 | | | | |
| outliers 10% | 109.427 | 1340.808 | 49.243 | 54.067 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 65.121 | 21.275 | 1.065 | 1.319 | | | | |
| outliers 0% | 58.184 | 12.747 | 0.744 | 0.912 | | | | |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 128.919 | 429.326 | 21.264 | 61.206 | | | | |
| outliers 10% | 100.005 | 633.019 | 53.481 | 64.583 | | | | |

mean std

* Mean and Std. in pixels

Methods: 1.- 7-Point; 2.- 8-Point with Least-Squares; 3.- 8-Point with Eigen Analysis 4.- Rank-2 Constraint

3D reconstruction from two views

3.7 Experimental Results: Synthetic Images (I)

| Methods* | Iterative | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| outliers 0% | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 161.684 | 20.445 | ∞ | 187.474 | 18.224 | 17.124 | 16.978 | |
| outliers 10% | 117.494 | 30.487 | ∞ | 197.049 | 36.141 | 31.204 | 29.015 | |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 1.328 | 0.107 | 1.641 | 1.328 | 0.112 | 0.107 | 0.110 | |
| outliers 0% | 0.786 | 0.088 | 0.854 | 0.786 | 0.092 | 0.088 | 0.091 | |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 158.961 | 32.765 | 146.955 | 183.961 | 15.807 | 14.003 | 14.897 | |
| outliers 10% | 124.202 | 67.308 | 94.323 | 137.294 | 40.301 | 38.485 | 39.388 | |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 5.599 | 0.538 | 7.017 | 5.590 | 0.554 | 0.538 | 0.543 | |
| outliers 0% | 3.416 | 0.361 | 3.713 | 3.410 | 0.361 | 0.362 | 0.368 | |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 161.210 | 31.740 | ∞ | 217.577 | 19.409 | 22.302 | 22.262 | |
| outliers 10% | 136.828 | 59.126 | ∞ | 368.061 | 51.154 | 59.048 | 59.162 | |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 20.757 | 1.068 | 345.123 | 21.234 | 1.071 | 1.065 | 1.066 | |
| outliers 0% | 12.467 | 0.772 | 294.176 | 12.719 | 0.745 | 0.744 | 0.748 | |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 158.849 | 37.480 | ∞ | 152.906 | 18.730 | 18.374 | 19.683 | |
| outliers 10% | 120.461 | 52.762 | ∞ | 120.827 | 38.644 | 39.993 | 42.112 | |

Iterative methods: Can cope with noise but inefficient in the presence of outliers

Methods: 5.- Iterative Linear; 6.- Iterative Newton-Raphson;

7.- Minimization in parameter space;

8.- Gradient using LS; 9.- Gradient using Eigen;

* Mean and Std. in pixels

10.- FNS; 11.- CFNS

3.7 Experimental Results: Synthetic Images (I)

| Methods | Robust | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 0.011 |
| outliers 0% | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.079 | 0.009 |
| $\sigma = 0.0$ | 273.403 | 4.909 | 4.714 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 16.457 | 19.375 | 0.115 |
| outliers 10% | 360.443 | 4.493 | 2.994 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 26.923 | 70.160 | 0.115 |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 0.355 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 1.331 | 0.107 | 0.107 | 0.139 | 0.168 |
| outliers 0% | 0.257 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.788 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.123 | 0.155 |
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | 73.354 | 4.876 | 4.130 | 0.449 | 0.098 | 2.389 | 21.784 | 0.701 |
| outliers 10% | 59.072 | 4.808 | 2.997 | 0.271 | 0.077 | 5.763 | 97.396 | 0.740 |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 2.062 | 0.392 | 0.367 | 5.548 | 0.538 | 0.538 | 0.550 | 0.762 |
| outliers 0% | 1.466 | 0.237 | 0.207 | 3.386 | 0.362 | 0.362 | 0.377 | 0.618 |
| $\sigma = 0.5$ | 143.442 | 3.887 | 3.147 | 47.418 | 0.586 | 18.942 | 23.859 | 0.629 |
| outliers 10% | 111.694 | 3.969 | 2.883 | 29.912 | 0.434 | 53.098 | 79.890 | 0.452 |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 8.538 | 0.794 | 0.814 | 21.275 | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.089 | 1.072 |
| outliers 0% | 6.306 | 0.463 | 0.463 | 12.747 | 0.744 | 0.744 | 0.768 | 0.785 |
| $\sigma = 1.0$ | 120.012 | 3.921 | 4.089 | 25.759 | 1.052 | 14.076 | 19.298 | 1.041 |
| outliers 10% | 122.436 | 3.752 | 4.326 | 15.217 | 0.803 | 30.274 | 65.149 | 0.822 |

Robust methods: Cope with both noise and outliers

Methods: 12.- M-Estimator using LS; 13.- M-Estimator using Eigen;

14.- M-Estimator proposed by Torr;

15.- LMedS using LS; 16.- LMedS using Eigen;

* Mean and Std. in pixels

17.- RANSAC; 18.- MLESAC; 19.- MAPSAC.

3.7 Experimental Results: Synthetic Images (II)

33



7-Point; 2.- 8-Point with Least-Squares; 3.- 8-Point with Eigen Analysis; 4.- Rank-2 Constraint;
 Iterative Linear; 6.- Iterative Newton-Raphson; 7.- Minimization in parameter space; 8.- Gradient using LS;
 Gradient using Eigen; 10.- FNS; 11.- CFNS; 12.- M-Estimator using LS; 13.- M-Estimator using Eigen;
 M-Estimator proposed by Torr; 15.- LMedS using LS; 16.- LMedS using Eigen; 17.- RANSAC;
 MLESAC; 19.- MAPSAC.



3.7 Experimental Results: Real Images (I)









3.7 Experimental Results: Real Images (II)

| | Methods* | Robust | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| | Urban | 1.668 | 0.309 | 0.279 | 1.724 | 0.319 | 0.440 | 0.449 | 0.440 |
| 20 | Scene | 0.935 | 0.228 | 0.189 | 1.159 | 0.269 | 0.334 | 0.373 | 0.348 |
| | Mobile Robot | 5.775 | 0.274 | 0.593 | 24.835 | 1.559 | 3.855 | -2.443 | 1.274 |
| | Scene | 50.701 | 0.192 | 0.524 | 38.434 | 2.715 | 6.141 | 5.629 | 2.036 |
| | Underwater | 0.557 | 0.650 | 0.475 | 2.439 | 0.847 | 1.725 | 3.678 | 1.000 |
| Ma - D | Scene | 0.441 | 0.629 | 0.368 | 2.205 | 0.740 | 2.138 | 12.662 | 0.761 |
| | Road | 0.373 | 0.136 | 0.310 | 0.825 | 0.609 | 0.609 | 0.427 | 0.471 |
| and a second second | Scene | 0.635 | 0.113 | 0.256 | 1.144 | 0.734 | 0.734 | 0.410 | 0.403 |
| Stor Star | Aerial | 0.099 | 0.085 | 0.161 | 0.179 | 0.149 | 0.149 | 0.216 | 0.257 |
| | Scene | 0.063 | 0.058 | 0.106 | 0.158 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.186 | 0.197 |
| Contraction of the second | Kitchen | 0.584 | 0.280 | 0.263 | 1.350 | 0.545 | 2.623 | 0.864 | 0.582 |
| New | Scene | 0.425 | 0.207 | 0.191 | 1.200 | 0.686 | 3.327 | 3.713 | 0.717 |

Methods: 12.- M-Estimator using LS; 13.- M-Estimator using Eigen;

14.- M-Estimator proposed by Torr;

15.- LMedS using LS; 16.- LMedS using Eigen;

* Mean and Std. in pixels

17.- RANSAC; 18.- MLESAC; 19.- MAPSAC.

3D reconstruction from two views

3.8 Calibrated reconstruction

Calibrated 3D Reconstruction process:

- 1. The **Internal Parameters** are known (by camera calibration)
- 2. Calculate the Fundamental Matrix
- 3. Determine the External Parameters (rotation and translation from one camera to the other) from the Fundamental Matrix
- 4. Determine **3D point locations,** i.e. perform the **3D reconstruction.**



3.8 Calibrated reconstruction

Determine the External Parameters:

 Determine the Essential matrix E from the fundamental matrix F and the camera calibration matrix K, since we know the Intrinsic Parameters of the camera.

$$F = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E = R^{t} [t]_{x}$$
$$F' = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E' = [t]_{x} R$$

- 2. Calculate the External Parameters: R and t
 - a) SVD of E: $E = USV^T$

 $R = UWV^{T} \text{ or } UW^{T}V^{T}$

t = u3 or -u3, where u3 is the last column of U



There are 4 combinations of translations and rotations.

3.8 Calibrated reconstruction

Determine the External Parameters:

 Determine the Essential matrix E from the fundamental matrix F and the camera calibration matrix K, since we know the Intrinsic Parameters of the camera.

$$F = \mathbf{A}^{-t} R^{t} [t]_{x} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E = R^{t} [t]_{x}$$
$$F' = \mathbf{A}^{-t} [t]_{x} R \mathbf{A}^{-1} \qquad E' = [t]_{x} R$$

- 2. Calculate the External Parameters: R and t
 - a) SVD of E: $E = USV^T$

 $R = UWV^{T} \text{ or } UW^{T}V^{T}$

t = u3 or -u3, where u3 is the last column of U



3.10 Stereo vision using ...

White Box model

Explicit model: the physical parameters of the camera are known

As close as possible to a full description of the real system

Black Box model

Implicit model: emulates the camera behavior without actually knowing the camera parameters.

A set of transfer functions and parameters that do not describe any internal physics.

- Do you think that is possible to build a black box model of a stereo configuration of cameras?
- What are the inputs? / Outputs?



3D reconstruction from two views

Camera 1

3D points

The 3D points are calculated taking as origin of the coordonate system the lower left corner of the calibration pattern.

The pattern is moved at different known distances from the camera and the stereo images are captured. **The 2D points** are identified and matched in all the images. Camera 2



3D reconstruction from two views



| Features | Crisp (White Box) | Fuzzy (Black Box) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Robustness to noise | Low | High |
| Accuracy outside of a bounding box | High | Low |
| Model simplicity | Low | High |
| Adaptability to new camera configurations | Low | High |

3D reconstruction from two views

End of the SSIP presentation

Thank you for your time. Any questions?

3D reconstruction from two views